

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

2

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,675	07/25/2003	Hidemasa Kai	030901	1831	
<sup>38834</sup> WESTERMAN	7590 07/12/200 N, HATTORI, DANIEI	EXAMINER			
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			KACKAR, RAM N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1763		
,					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			. 07/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/626,675	KAI, HIDEMASA	
Examiner	. Art Unit	

	Ram N. Kackar	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.	
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followalces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment, ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	illing date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR tension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The approproriginally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action: or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see fow); tter form for appeal by materially	NOTE below);  reducing or simplifying	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).	·	
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>	: llowable if submitted in a separa ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □	te, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		- 44	
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	It before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affic	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> davit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
<ul> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ul>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap y and was not earlier presented.	peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul> <li>2.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>3.  Other:</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	_	
		<b>R</b>	
		Ram Kackar Primary Examiner	<del></del> AU 1763

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issues relate to amendments to claims1 and 11.